Identification and Spectrum Analysis of the Atrial Electrical Activity for Differential Diagnostics of the Atrial Fibrillation
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Abstract

Background: The algorithm for precise differential diagnostics of atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter was recently developed. Materials and methods: 19 patients with atrial fibrillation and several digital ECGs from database. Results: The algorithm developed is based on atrial ECG extraction by the blind source separation method and its spectral analysis. The number of spectral peaks in the 2-9 Hz range is calculated. Atrial flutter refers to one peak while atrial fibrillation refers to more than one peak. Conclusions: This method was successfully tested in patients with atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter on several digital ECGs from database. The algorithm was 100% reliable. IJBM 2011; 1(3):124-127. © 2011 International Medical Research and Development Corporation. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

The electrical activity of the atria on the ECG shows a relatively low-voltage P-wave. Its location and measurement do not indicate a problem in the case of sinus rhythm. But during atrial fibrillation (AF) due to the chaotic electrical activity of the atria, the normal P-waves are replaced by a frequent, irregular series of pulses. Some of them are locked in the AV node, while others reach the ventricular myocardium, causing arrhythmic contractions, up to 200 per minute and more. Nonsynchronous atrial electrical activity leads to a worsening of its contractile function and a weakening of the cardiac output. AF is expressed in two different forms. They are atrial fibrillation (A-fbr) and atrial flutter (A-flt). A-fbr and A-flt are difficult to recognize on the ECG, in the tachysystolic phase. The real clinical problem lies in improving the accuracy AF is evident in about 33% of cases in persons hospitalized with heart rhythm disorders [1-3]. For the differential diagnosis of A-fbr/A-flt, an ECG with 12 standard leads is used. The signals in leads II, III and aVF are analyzed for the presence of P peaks. When the P peaks are absent and waves of different amplitudes and frequencies above 200 per minute are noted, A-fbr is diagnosed.

A-flt is diagnosed when these leads show more regular F-waves similar to "shark teeth" with a frequency of 240-320 per minute. This direct method reveals several disadvantages. First, the electrical activity power of the ventricles is a few times more powerful than the activity in the atria and it is disguised. Second, the low-amplitude atrial activity is masked by additional noise, which is noted in practically every ECG recording. Third, by the ECG records it is almost impossible to estimate the frequency and regularity of the atrial activity. With a repetition factor of conductivity 1:3 and 1:2 it becomes really difficult to distinguish A-flt from sinus rhythm and tachycardia. Taken together, these factors lead to a low reliability of the differential diagnosis of A-fbr/A-flt. Alternative diagnostic technologies based on the intracardiac electrophysiological investigations, ECG topography and tomography are expensive and not yet readily available for medical practice.

*Corresponding author: Prof. Alexander V. Frolov, ScD, Head of Medical Information Technology Laboratory, Republican Scientific Practical Center, 110 R. Luxemburg str, Minsk 220030, Belarus. Tel: 375-017-286-19-56. Fax: 375-017-256-05-23. E-mail: frolov.minsk@gmail.com
The aim of this study was to develop a method for the differential diagnostics of A-fibr/A-flutter based on the spectral analysis of the atrial electrical activity and to conduct clinical trials.

Methods

In developing the method of differential diagnostics, 19 ECGs (with 12 standard leads) from patients with AF, digitized at 1000 Hz, having a resolution of 22 bits, and 25 digital ECGs with paroxysmal AF, contained in an open database of ECG data of the Institute of Cardiotechnics, St. Petersburg, Russia (www.incart.ru), were studied. The duration of the ECG recordings was at least 1 minute. The ECG data previously cleared from 50 Hz interference, muscular and respiratory activity was then subjected to digital processing. Precision interpretation of the ECG data was performed using the Fourier-analysis and blind source separation (BSS) methods. A signal consisting of several independent sources was divided into their atrial and ventricular components by BSS method as well.

Fig. 1

The algorithm of differential diagnostics of atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter by spectrum analysis of the atrial ECG.

Clinical testing of the algorithm was conducted on digital ECG data recording the paroxysms of AF. Fig. 2 shows an example of the differential diagnostics of a clinically verified AF episode in patient #149 from the ECG database of the Institute of Cardiotechnics, St. Petersburg, Russia. The left panel (a) shows the chest ECG leads V1-V6, below the corresponding atrial ECG Y1-Y6, the graph (b) represents the most powerful atrial lead Y1. A fragment of the atrial signal Y1 was processed by FFT using the Welch algorithm for 8012 points to the 4096-point Hamming window, with 50% overlap. The 2-9 Hz window of the spectrum was analyzed. This limitation improves the reliability, as this diapason contains only the atrial electrical activity. Spectral components outside this zone may reflect the heart rate and its high harmonics. The other components are not related to the atria. As evident from the lower right graph (c) in Fig. 2 the spectrum of the atrial signal Y1 contains four harmonics. The maximum harmonic is seen at a frequency of 3.5 Hz. It is concentrated 34.1% of the spectrum energy. Therefore, it can be argued that the signal of atrial activity represents a mixture of all four independent sources of the reentry. Sinus activity is not represented here, as the ventricular activity is completely filtered out. Therefore, in this patient a few ectopic places of stimulation reentry were detected, by which any fibrillation waves of varying amplitudes and frequency could be seen. In this case, the exact clinical diagnosis is A-fibr.
Fig. 3 shows a fragment of a clinically verified episode of atrial flutter in the same patient No.149. On the left panel (a) V1-V6 leads and the corresponding atrial signals Y1-Y6 are placed. Signal Y1 at the top right of the graph (b) is subjected to frequency analysis. Its spectrum is shown in the lower graph (c). The spectrum of atrial ECG shows only one peak at frequency $f_{max}=4.6$ Hz. Its spectral power concentration is 87.3% of the total energy of the spectrum. Here, the excitation wave in the atria travels along a large circle of reentry that corresponds to the modern definition of atrial flutter. Atrial flutter occurs with a frequency of 276 beats per minute. Atrial flutter is diagnosed with 100% probability in this episode. Additionally, these examples show how atrial fibrillation is transformed into atrial flutter, and vice versa.

Fig. 2
Episode of atrial fibrillation in a patient #149 from the database of the Institute of Cardiotechnics, St. Petersburg, Russia:
(a) precordial ECG leads V1-V6, at the lower end of this window extracted atrial ECG Y1-Y6;
(b) the most powerful atrial electrocardiogram in lead Y1 (scale enlarged),
(c) spectrum of atrial ECG, which contains four peaks in the range of 2-9 Hz.

Fig. 3
Episode of atrial flutter in the same patient #149 (the same database)
(a) precordial ECG leads V1-V6, at the lower end of this window atrial ECG Y1-Y6
(b) the most powerful atrial electrocardiogram in lead Y1 (scale enlarged)
(c) spectrum of atrial ECG, containing a single peak at a frequency of 4.6 Hz; its spectral power concentration is 87.3% of the total spectrum power.
Discussion

Besides the differential diagnostics of A-fibrillation maximal frequency data $f_{\text{max}}$ it is possible to estimate the probability of spontaneous termination of atrial fibrillation or flutter. This has been proved by D. Hasser et al., [5]. To do this, the frequency in Hz must be transformed into the number of fibrillations per minute, in other words, multiply it by 60. It has been established that the higher the frequency the lower the probability of spontaneous termination of atrial fibrillation. Conversely, the lower the frequency of fibrillation the higher the probability of termination.

The frequency analysis of the atrial ECG provided useful information on the number of independent sources of reentry. Also, information on the frequency and speed of conduction of excitation are roughly to estimate the size of the ectopic locus. However, this assumption calls for further study and is debatable.

Conclusions

The method developed in this study was based on blind source separation which offers a high reliability to extract atrial ECG based on the surface 12-lead ECG. Therefore, based on the spectral or wavelet analysis of an atrial ECG, one can build a variety of diagnostic algorithms of supraventricular arrhythmias. The frequency of ectopic activity can be estimated based on this spectrum.

An algorithm for differential diagnosis of atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter was successfully tested in 19 patients with atrial fibrillation, using several ECGs from the database at www.incart.ru. The algorithm is easy to use in choosing the alternative between the pharmacological and surgical correction of patients with atrial fibrillation. The technology is based on standard electrocardiographic equipment, currently widely available for clinical use.
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