Comparative Analysis of \textit{in vitro} Performance of Total-Etch and Self-Etch Adhesives
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Abstract

\textbf{The aim} of the study was \textit{in vitro} assessment of shear bond strength and micro-leakage after application of total-etch and self-etch adhesive systems.

\textbf{Materials and Methods:} Four adhesive systems were chosen for assessment of adhesion performance: Contax (DMG, GmbH), Bond Force (Tokuyama Dental Corp. Japan Mfr), Te-Econom Bond (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein) and Swisstec SL Bond (Coltene, Switzerland). The assessment of bond strength was performed on 20 tooth samples, which were prepared in accordance with the UltraTest technique for shear bond strength (SBS) estimation. The test was conducted at a crosshead speed of 1.0 mm/min and results were fixed in kilograms. The assessment of SBS was performed on enamel and dentin separately. Microleakage assessment of self-etch and total-etch adhesive systems was performed on 20 extracted non-carious upper human premolars with immersion in 1% methylene blue solution after thermocycling.

\textbf{Results:} Good SBS results and microleakage values on the dentin substrate were obtained after application of the Contax self-etch bonding agent. But the values of bond strength to enamel and the extent of dye penetration within the composite-enamel interface were still better with the total-etch approach. (\textit{Int J Biomed.} 2016; 6(4):283-286.)
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Introduction

In dentistry, the etch-and-rinse technique is still considered to be the gold standard of the bond strength of adhesives to enamel.\textsuperscript{[1]} Many studies have demonstrated that if there is a large area of available enamel to be bonded and only a small area of dentin, the total-etch technique is the preferred alternative since it has been shown to result in a stronger bonding to enamel than the self-etch technique.\textsuperscript{[2,3]} Conversely, if a preparation side has a substantial area of dentin to be bonded and a lesser area of enamel, the group of self-etch adhesives is more often preferred.\textsuperscript{[4,5]}

The self-etch adhesive technique, in comparison to total-etch, creates a thinner hybrid layer and mainly relies on the formation of multiple chemical bonds between active groups of monomer and calcium ions of hydroxyl apatite, and less on collagen fiber hybridization.\textsuperscript{[6-9]} The thinner hybrid layer may be the reason for poor resistance to debonding stresses. In addition, several studies have indicated a decrease in the adhesion strength of self-etch adhesive systems, which might be largely associated with the chemical instability of the material composition.\textsuperscript{[10,11]}

The chemical instability of self-etch adhesive materials also indicates the need for strict storage conditions. Many of them should be refrigerated. Also, the storage during shipment and transportation is not always as prescribed. Thus, many factors may account for bad bond strength after a bonding agent application.

The adhesion performance of total-etch and self-etch bonding agents is of clinical importance. Many studies have indicated that in the total-etch approach the successful hybridization of an etched dentin substrate is not always predictable, whereas in the self-etch technique the strength of composite adhesion to enamel is often questionable. \textsuperscript{[12-16]}
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The aim of the study was in vitro assessment of shear bond strength and micro-leakage after application of total-etch and self-etch adhesive systems.

Materials and Methods

All adhesive procedures were performed by the same operator in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. In each case, the light activation was done using a halogen light-curing unit (Bluephase 20i (G2), Ivoclar Vivadent) with a light intensity around 700 mW/cm².

Four adhesive systems were chosen for assessment of adhesion performance: Contax (DMG, GmbH), Bond Force (Tokuyama Dental Corp. Japan Mfr), Te-Econom Bond (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein) and Swisstec SL Bond (Coltene, Switzerland). Chemical composition of used adhesive systems is presented on Table №1.

Table 1. Chemical composition of adhesive systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonding agent</th>
<th>Type of system</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contax (DMG, GmbH)</td>
<td>Self-Etch</td>
<td>Contax-Primer: water, carboxylic acid, sodium fluoride.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Contax-Bond: Hydrophilic and acidic Bis-GMA-based resin matrix, catalyst.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Force (Tokuyama Dental Corp. Japan Mfr.)</td>
<td>Self-Etch</td>
<td>Phosphoric acid monomer (3D-SR monomer), Bis-GMA, 3G (TEGDMA), HEMA, Alcohol, Water, Camphorquinone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te-Econom Bond (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein)</td>
<td>Total-Etch</td>
<td>HEMA, di- and monomethacrylates, inorganic fillers, initiators, stabilizers, alcohol solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swisstec SL Bond (Coltene, Switzerland)</td>
<td>Total Etch</td>
<td>Methacrylates, polyalkenoate methacrylated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The composite filling material of choice for the application of Contax and Bond Force was palifque ESTELITE paste; for Te-Econom Bond - Te-Econom Plus and Swisstec SL Bond, we used the Swisstec light curing composite.

The assessment of bond strength was performed on 20 tooth samples, which were prepared in accordance with the UltraTest technique for shear bond strength (SBS) estimation. The test was conducted at a crosshead speed of 1.0 mm/min and results were fixed in kilograms. Tooth samples (Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b) were divided into two groups: Group 1 (n=10) for the assessment of SBS on enamel, and Group 2 (n=10) for the assessment of SBS on dentin.

Each sample of both groups was subjected to the 4 following SBS tests (in accordance with the number of adhesives under the study) and every consecutive test was performed after grinding off the remnants of the existing bonded area.

Microleakage assessment of self-etch and total-etch adhesive systems was performed on 20 extracted non-carious upper human premolars. Round artificial cavities (3 mm in diameter, 1 mm deep) were prepared on two approximal surfaces of each tooth with half in enamel and another half in root dentin. All samples were randomly divided into two groups: Group A for assessment of microleakage at the enamel margin, and Group B for assessment of microleakage at the dentin margin. Artificial cavities were filled with composite, polished, and thermocycled (500 cycles in separate water baths of 5°C and 65°C±2°C with a dwell time of 20 seconds in each bath and a transfer time of 1 second). After thermocycling, the apices of tooth samples were sealed with sticky wax and coated with nail varnish, with the exception of the restoration site and a 1 mm distance around of it. The teeth were stained in 1% methylene blue solution for 24 hours and sectioned through the centers of restorations (Fig.2).

![Fig. 2. Microleakage assessment](image)

Statistical analysis was performed using StatSoft Statistica v6.0. The mean (M) and standard deviation (SD) were calculated. Multiple comparisons were performed with one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey HSD test. A probability value of \( P<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

According to obtained SBS test results (Table 2), the enamel bonding capacity of Contax was not as strong as that of Te-Econom Bond and Swisstec SL Bond, but differences in values were not statistically significant. But with the dentin substrate, the bonding capacity of Contax was better (2.8 times) than that of Swisstec SL Bond \((P=0.000)\). At the same time, the average microleakage value of Contax to a dentin tissue was 5 times better than that of Swisstec SL Bond \((P=0.0119)\).

The bonding capacity of Bond Force to enamel or dentin hard tissues was 1.42 and 1.66 times lower, respectively, than the capacity of Te-Econom \((P=0.0202\) and \(P=0.0001\)). Microleakage parameters of the compared bonding agents
in relation to enamel surface were 2.44 times better for Te-Econom than for Bond Force \( (P=0.0111) \). Thus, good SBS results and microleakage values on the dentin substrate were obtained after application of the Contax self-etch bonding agent. But the values of bond strength to enamel and the extent of dye penetration within the composite-enamel interface were still better with the total-etch approach.

**Discussion**

Table 2.

Shear bond strength and micro-leakage values of total-etch and self-etch adhesive systems under study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonding agent, LOT, exp date, time of test running</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contax (DMG, GmbH) LOT 743584, 2018-02, 27.11.2016 (1)</td>
<td>10.56±3.26</td>
<td>12.49±1.72</td>
<td>1.1±1.0</td>
<td>0.3±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Force (Tokuyama Dental Corp. Japan Mfr.), LOT 313 MM, 2019-06, 27.11.2016 (2)</td>
<td>8.71±2.34</td>
<td>7.92±2.95</td>
<td>2.2±0.79</td>
<td>0.5±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te-Econom Bond (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein), LOT V11012, 2018-09, 26.11.2016 (3)</td>
<td>12.4±1.63</td>
<td>13.1±4.217</td>
<td>0.9±0.99</td>
<td>1.1±0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swisstec SL Bond (Coltene, Switzerland), LOT G43043, 2018-08, 28.11.2016 (4)</td>
<td>12.4±3.18</td>
<td>4.42±2.1</td>
<td>0.5±0.7</td>
<td>1.5±1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA Tukey HSD Post-hoc Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonding agent, LOT, exp date, time of test running</th>
<th>P=0.0106</th>
<th>P=0.0439</th>
<th>P=0.0009</th>
<th>P=0.0086</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contax (DMG, GmbH) LOT 743584, 2018-02, 27.11.2016 (1)</td>
<td>P=0.4251</td>
<td>P=0.0004</td>
<td>P=0.0393</td>
<td>P=0.9469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Force (Tokuyama Dental Corp. Japan Mfr.), LOT 313 MM, 2019-06, 27.11.2016 (2)</td>
<td>P=0.4299</td>
<td>P=0.0000</td>
<td>P=0.4333</td>
<td>P=0.0119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te-Econom Bond (Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein), LOT V11012, 2018-09, 26.11.2016 (3)</td>
<td>P=0.0202</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
<td>P=0.0111</td>
<td>P=0.3702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swisstec SL Bond (Coltene, Switzerland), LOT G43043, 2018-08, 28.11.2016 (4)</td>
<td>P=0.0202</td>
<td>P=0.0079</td>
<td>P=0.0006</td>
<td>P=0.0457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strong adhesion of composite to tooth substrates may be of primary importance for the long-term stability of a tooth colored restoration. However, high values of SBS are not always associated with long-term stability of a tooth-composite interface \([17,18]\). Deep adhesive tags, which are usually produced by total-etch adhesives, help to provide the initial stability. However, the formation of zones of incomplete infiltration is more likely for the same total-etch bonding agent because of dimensional weakness of a denuded dentin collagen network \([19,20]\).

The presence of micro-cracks on a surface of dentin or enamel may also lead to the formation of longer adhesive tags in those zones, which can be of great benefit in the beginning. However, micro-cracks are prone to microleakage, which could be the main reason for bond degradation in the end.

In this study, an accurate assessment of a bond failure pattern was not undertaken. But preliminary visual analysis of macro images of torn-off surfaces, estimation of the extent of micro-leakage in tooth samples, and SBS test results demonstrated that the Contax 2-bottle self-etch adhesive system (DMG, GmbH) is a reliable bonding agent in relation to a long-term prognosis. In addition, it was shown that total-etch adhesives adhered better to enamel.
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